## 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number 11mj71289HRL
V.	
JUAN CORTEZ-PADILLA, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act 18 U.S.C. 8 3142	Office detention bearing were held an No. 1 age 2011
Defendant was present represented by his attorney Varall Fullar	2(f), a detention hearing was held on November 21, 2011.
Defendant was present, represented by his attorney <u>Varell Fuller</u> .  Anne Marie Ursini .	The United States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
	10 11 0 0 0 0 140 (0 (4) 1 1 1 4 0 1
of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. \$ 2142(0.11)	1 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted
of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release	ase pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a
period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of	conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,
whichever is later.	
inis establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition	n or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and the community.	
/ / There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (	the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
has committed an offense	
A for which a maximum term of imprisonm	nent of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq.	վ., OR
B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm	n during the commission of a felony.
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition	or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
A for which a maximum term of imprisonm 801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et sec B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the comm No presumption applies.  PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	unity.
No presumption applies.	NOV 2 1 2044
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	RICU
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE // The defendant has not come forward with sufficient even therefore will be ordered detained	vidence to rebut the applicable presupprison of anothing
therefore will be ordered detained.	THERITO DISTRICT COURT
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebu	ut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.	
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPI	LICABLE)
The United States has proved to a preponderance of the	e evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND	D/OR
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing	evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community	
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REA	ASONS FOR DETENTION
/ / The Court has taken into account the factors set out in	18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
the hearing and finds as follows:	
Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived wi	ritten findings.
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	· ·
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Ger	neral or his designated representative for confinement in a
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awai	
The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private con	
United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the p	
defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance	
· · · /	
/ /	
Dated: \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
HOWARI	D R. LLOYD
	ates Magistrate Judge
	<u> </u>

AUSA \_\_\_\_, ATTY \_\_\_\_\_, PTS \_\_\_\_